



# TCFD Disclosure for SEDCO Capital

2024

Report date: 11-November 2024

## Introduction

SEDCO Capital (“SC”) has become the first Shariah-compliant signatory of the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI). SC’s responsible investment approach has gradually evolved by broadening the investment focus from Shariah-compliance to ESG integration, active ownership, thematic sustainability as well as positive societal and environmental impacts with investments. Over time, the importance of climate risk has grown, and it has been gradually developing into a secular theme from an investment perspective. Both climate related physical and transition risk levels are expected to increase in the near future. Those risks are expected to impact regions and sectors differently. Thus, climate-related risk are pertinent to all portfolios and products that SC manages.

SEDCO Capital is pleased to publish its second Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (“TCFD”) aligned report. Prior TCFD-related disclosures were made in the annual UNPRI assessment report. While SC is not required to provide any mandatory disclosures, SC would like to demonstrate, on a best-efforts basis, its commitment to further develop disclosures on climate related risks.

Transparency as to how companies and investors are addressing these risks and opportunities is important to shareholders, clients, employees, regulators and other stakeholders. SC’s dedication to responsible investing and climate considerations is aligned with the objective of making investments that are well positioned to benefit from the transition to a more sustainable economy.

## 1. Governance

The nature of SEDCO Capital’s business and its investment philosophy mean that the management of climate-related and broader sustainability-related risks and opportunities is a strategic focus. The Board of Directors (“Board”) plays a crucial role in governing and overseeing SC’s strategy and providing an oversight, control and monitoring role of its operations and risks. In this function, the Board also oversees climate-related risks and opportunities.

The Audit, Risk and Compliance Committee (“ARCC”) as a Board Committee, which is comprised of independent non-executive members, is responsible for the oversight of risk management (including climate risk management). That means that the Board, with the support of the ARCC oversees SC’s Responsible Investment and Stewardship policies. This includes high-level guidelines on asset classes and exclusions. Accordingly, the Board and ARCC are periodically updated on responsible investments, at least annually. The organization has KPIs related to responsible investments.

Management and monitoring of climate-related risks and opportunities, including implementing the TCFD recommendations, is delegated to senior management, specifically the Risk Management department led by the Chief Risk Officer. Senior management is represented on investment committees, which oversee SC’s investment activities, investment performance, risk management, as well as climate-related issues.

The Chief Risk Officer is responsible for SC’s responsible investment approach including climate-related considerations. Thus, the Chief Risk Officer leads the ongoing advancement of SC’s sustainability efforts, the responsible investment process and the assessment of climate and sustainability related risks and opportunities.

Responsible investment considerations are fully integrated in SC's investment process so that all investment professionals assess exclusions, ESG, climate and active ownership considerations in line with the normal investment process.

#### **Board of Directors**

SC is headed by an effective Board of Directors which meets regularly and directs and controls the Company. The Board is responsible for providing governance, guidance and oversight to senior management. Management is responsible for carrying out board directives, including implementing strategies and policies and establishing an effective system of internal controls. It is the joint responsibility of the Board and management to promote integrity and high ethical standards, and to establish a culture within the organization that emphasizes personally of all levels the importance of internal controls.

The Board is ultimately responsible for ensuring that an adequate and effective system of internal controls is established and maintained. The key elements in achieving this are:

- *Approve strategies and policies, and periodically review their implementation.*
- *Understand and define limits for major risks.*
- *Ensure that management takes the steps necessary to identify measure, monitor and control risk.*
- *Approve appropriate risk management organizational structure.*
- *Ensure that management is monitoring the effectiveness of the internal control system.*
- *Ensure that all company operational policies and procedures are documented and up to date.*

#### **Committees**

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Board chose to delegate some of these responsibilities to committees. The focus here is on role to the Audit, Risk and Compliance Committee:

Audit, Risk and Compliance Committee ("ARCC"): The purpose of the ARCC is to assist the Board in exercising its oversight of the operational activities of SC and the timely identification, mitigation, and management of those risks that could have a material impact on SC. Also, the committee assists in fulfilling its risk management responsibilities as defined by applicable laws and regulations. The committee also oversees, monitors, directs and reviews the management of SC compliance and monitoring of its security business in line with the SC's established policies, procedures and program in accordance with regulatory requirements.

#### **Management Role**

Management, in supporting the Board and its committees, has a number of key responsibilities:

- *Implement strategies and policies approved by the Board.*
- *Develop processes that identify, measure, monitor and control risks that may be incurred by the company.*
- *Maintain a risk management organizational structure that clearly assigns responsibility, authority and reporting relationships and avoids conflict of interest situations.*
- *Ensure that delegated responsibilities are effectively carried out.*
- *Set appropriate internal control policies.*
- *Monitor the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control system.*

Management, while ultimately responsible to the Board, executes its responsibilities by appointing competent and efficient personnel to the various types of risk functions and delegates appropriate responsibilities. Monitoring the performance of these nominated individuals is a key part of the success of risk management.

An essential element of an integrated Risk Management Framework is the recognition by all employees of the need to carry out their responsibilities effectively and to communicate where policy violations and other deviations are detected. Clearly written, distributed and readily available procedures are fundamental to the detection and communication of risk issues.

## 2. Strategy

SEDCO Capital's pursues a strategy intended to seize the investment opportunities arising from the transition to a more sustainable economy. This includes the global transition to net-zero greenhouse gas emissions and adaptation to the unavoidable impacts of climate change. Through SC's internal research and/or with the help of external investment managers, advisors and service providers, SC seeks to invest in assets that may be well positioned to benefit from this transition. SC believes this approach to investment management can deliver compelling risk-adjusted financial returns to investors over the long term. This section outlines how SC aims to capitalize on climate-related opportunities and how climate-related risks are approached.

The importance of climate risk has grown, and it has been gradually evolving into a secular theme from an investment perspective. Both climate related physical and transition risk levels are expected to increase in the near future and those risks are expected to impact regions and sectors differently. For example, high carbon emitting investments and exposed locations are expected to have lower future returns. This means that investors must proactively consider these risks in their investment processes.

Based on pertinent academic research, climate considerations and risks are expected to become a stronger driver of economic activity and financial returns. The integration of climate considerations into the investment and risk processes is motivated by the fundamental investment proposition rather than solely as an ethical obligation for SC.

SC generally supports the objectives of the Paris Agreement and the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures as a means for climate-related disclosure and transparency. Thus, SC aims to integrate climate considerations in its asset allocation subject to overriding constraints and the duty of protecting the interests of its clients. SC seeks transparency on climate risks and disclosure of carbon related data from its investments.

Geographic regions, countries down to micro locations will differ in their sensitivities to climate physical and transition risks. Industrial sectors and businesses differ in their sustainability profile and emissions. SC aims to evaluate future trends and technologies that could benefit from future climate engagement, which may represent strategic opportunities for investors.

### Asset Allocation:

- Aim to reduce portfolio exposure to sustainability risks and negative sensitivities to physical climate risks.
- Evaluate climate transition risks for potential late and adverse policy responses.
- Aim to reduce portfolio exposure to high carbon emission sectors, particular if there are more carbon-efficient substitutes. Transition risk sensitivity has the greatest negativity for the energy sector, particularly coal. In contrast, certain sectors or industries may benefit from carbon reduction requirements such as renewable energy, battery technologies, etc.

### Investment Selection:

- Aim to reduce the sustainability and carbon-related risks of portfolios in comparison to the benchmark (at minimal tracking error impact).
- Aim to improve portfolio ESG scores focusing on the most material ESG aspects (for example, relative to the Benchmark or relative to prior periods).

- Aim to increase the exposure to companies with lower sustainability risks (for example, relative to the Benchmark or relative to prior periods).
- Aim to increase the exposure to companies with lower carbon-related risks (for example, relative to the Benchmark or relative to prior periods).
- Aim to reduce the exposure to companies with less carbon efficient processes (for example, relative to the Benchmark or relative to prior periods).
- Certain technologies are expected to benefit from green transition such as battery technology, solar modules, wind turbine technology or certain basic materials activities such as lithium refining, cobalt sulfate refining and nickel sulfate refining.

However, investment decisions may differ in certain conditions, to preserve the overall financial characteristics of the portfolios, to respect other investment constraints, with regard to the overriding duty of protecting the interests of its clients. There can be no guarantee that any of the aims may be achieved, and SC shall in no way be bound by an objective or requirement to achieve these aims.

As an example, SC runs two public equity funds, in which environmental considerations play an important role: SC Europe Equities Fund, which follows a quality-growth strategy, invests in ESG leaders based on fundamental research. The fund has a coal exit policy excluding companies based according to coal exposure in their respective energy mix (measured by revenue threshold and installed capacity threshold). SC LO Global ESG Equities Fund invests in companies with stronger ESG-performance and low carbon footprint.

### 3. Risk Management

SC climate exposure is primarily through the investments it makes on behalf of clients. A failure to manage risks could negatively affect investment performance and SC's reputation as a sustainability-focused investment manager. Furthermore, SC also faces certain operational climate risks.

SC aims to assess climate and other material risks through ESG analysis that informs asset allocation and investment decisions as discussed above. Components of this analysis include particularly climate transition risks and physical climate risks.

Climate risks can be classified into transition and physical risks. Transition risk is the requirement to respond and adapt to a potentially rapidly changing regulatory, technological, market, and social backdrop. Physical risks entail the costs and damage resulting from climate change (such as extreme weather events). There is a trade-off between transition and physical risks driven by the speed of policy change.

Transition Risks result from moving to a lower-carbon economy, which may entail extensive policy, legal, technology, and market changes to address mitigation and adaptation requirements related to climate change. Depending on the nature, speed, and focus of these changes, transition risks may pose varying levels of financial and reputational risk to organizations.

Type	Climate-Related Risks	Potential Financial Impacts
Policy and Legal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased pricing of GHG emissions</li> <li>- Enhanced emissions-reporting obligations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased operating costs (e.g., higher compliance costs, increased insurance premiums)</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mandates on and regulation of existing products and services</li> <li>- Exposure to litigation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Write-offs, asset impairment, and early retirement of existing assets due to policy changes</li> <li>- Increased costs and/or reduced demand for products and services resulting from fines and judgments</li> </ul>
Technology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Substitution of existing products and services with lower emission options</li> <li>- Unsuccessful investment in new technologies</li> <li>- Costs to transition to lower emissions technology</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Write-offs and early retirement of existing assets</li> <li>- Reduced demand for products and services</li> <li>- Research and development (R&amp;D) expenditures in new and alternative technologies</li> <li>- Capital investments in technology development</li> <li>- Costs to adopt/deploy new practices and processes</li> </ul>
Market	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Changing customer behavior</li> <li>- Uncertainty in market signals</li> <li>- Increased cost of raw materials</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduced demand for goods and services due to shift in consumer preferences</li> <li>- Increased production costs due to changing input prices (e.g., energy, water) and output requirements (e.g., waste treatment)</li> <li>- Abrupt and unexpected shifts in energy costs</li> <li>- Change in revenue mix and sources, resulting in decreased revenues</li> <li>- Re-pricing of assets (e.g., fossil fuel reserves, land valuations, securities valuations)</li> </ul>
Reputation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Shifts in consumer preferences</li> <li>- Stigmatization of sector</li> <li>- Increased stakeholder concern or negative stakeholder feedback</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduced revenue from decreased demand for goods/services</li> <li>- Reduced revenue from decreased production capacity (e.g., delayed planning approvals, supply chain interruptions)</li> <li>- Reduced revenue from negative impacts on workforce management and planning (e.g., employee attraction and retention)</li> <li>- Reduction in capital availability</li> </ul>

Physical risks resulting from climate change can be event driven (acute) or longer-term shifts (chronic) in climate patterns. Physical risks may have financial implications for organizations, such as direct damage to assets and indirect impacts from supply chain disruption. Organizations’ financial performance may also be affected by changes in water availability, sourcing, and quality; food security; and extreme temperature changes affecting organizations’ premises, operations, supply chain, transport needs, and employee safety.

Type	Climate-Related Risks	Potential Financial Impacts
Acute	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased severity of extreme weather events such as cyclones and floods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduced revenue from decreased production capacity (e.g., transport difficulties, supply chain interruptions)</li> </ul>
Chronic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Changes in precipitation patterns and extreme variability in weather patterns</li> <li>- Rising mean temperatures</li> <li>- Rising sea levels</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduced revenue and higher costs from negative impacts on workforce (e.g., health, safety, absenteeism)</li> <li>- Write-offs and early retirement of existing assets (e.g., damage to property and assets in “high-risk” locations)</li> <li>- Increased operating costs (e.g., inadequate water supply for hydroelectric plants or to cool nuclear and fossil fuel plants)</li> <li>- Increased capital costs (e.g., damage to facilities)</li> <li>- Reduced revenues from lower sales/output</li> <li>- Increased insurance premiums and potential for reduced availability of insurance on assets in “high-risk” locations</li> </ul>

Transition and physical climate risks can be evaluated in SC’s risk management framework similar to other types of risks. The framework of Risk Identification, Assessment, Addressing and Reporting is described below. Practically, SC uses a variety of different sources to identify climate-related risks such as screening of portfolios, stress testing, industry publication, news, internal and external stakeholders, service providers, etc.

Risk Identification	Risk Assessment	Risk Addressing	Risk Reporting
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risks and sources of risks to which SC is exposed are continually identified and defined.</li> <li>• The company’s “appetite” for risk is described based on SC business objectives.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measurement processes should be comprehensive enough to cover all significant sources of risk exposure.</li> <li>• Measurement processes are responsive to the needs of those who use the information.</li> <li>• Identified risks are assessed in terms of their probability of occurrence and impact on SC should they occur.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proper risk management strategies are defined to either reduce the probability of risk occurrence or reduce the impact should they occur.</li> <li>• Risk limits are defined to be consistent with SC policies and authorized exposures.</li> <li>• Risk management ensures that operational activities do not expose SC to losses that could threaten its viability.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reports provide relevant, accurate and timely information about risk exposures.</li> <li>• Individuals monitoring risks are independent of those taking positions (incurring risks).</li> <li>• Risks are reported and regularly reviewed across different organizational hierarchy and during each stage of the risk management cycle.</li> </ul>

Engagements on climate related issues can be a risk mitigation strategy for SC. SC mainly engages indirectly through its external investment managers, investment advisors and other service providers. As an example, the proxy voting coverage of public equity funds on its Luxembourg SIF and UCITS platforms has been typically above 95% in the last few years.

Scenario analysis has become a useful tool to evaluate sensitivities to certain factors for portfolios that SC manages. Risk Management uses the price-impact approach to integrate climate-related factors into existing scenario analysis. SC expects the scenario and portfolio stress testing to develop over time as more comprehensive models and data become available for portfolio modelling.

#### 4. Metrics and Targets

The climate analytics tools SC deploys aim to provide a set of emissions-based and climate-related metrics at both a company and portfolio level to cater for different use cases in investment decision-making. SC manages diversified multi-asset class portfolios partially managed with the help of external investment managers and advisors. That means a high degree of complexity as well as lagged and/or limited data availability. Currently SC’s efforts are focused on developing tools for listed equities.

Beyond the Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions, several other metrics can be used in a portfolio context. Below summary discusses metrics have SC has used for analyzing equity portfolios.

At this point, SC still experiences a few data gaps as public companies may not disclose their emissions data (such as scope 3 emissions). There are larger data gaps for private investments. At this point, SC calculates carbon metrics for its listed equity funds and aims to enhance disclosure when more comprehensive data becomes publicly available.

For the short- to medium planning horizon, risks evolve from climate regulation resulting in decreasing value of investments, capital expenditure and similar. Consequently, there are opportunities resulting from capital expenditure for carbon emission reductions. As an example, SC LO Global ESG Equities Fund is a factor investment strategy, which allocates 50% of its risk budget to financial factors (such a value, quality, momentum, etc.) and 50% to SRI factors such as carbon emissions and ESG considerations.

For the long-term planning horizon, physical climate risks could impact SC’s investments directly. There could be opportunities from the growing impact of transition climate risks (regulation) in Middle Eastern real estate markets if anticipated early.

**Carbon Footprint<sup>1</sup>**

- Total carbon emissions for a portfolio normalized by the market value of the portfolio, expressed in tons CO2e/\$M invested.
- + Metric may be used to compare portfolios to one another and/or to a benchmark.
- + Using the portfolio market value to normalize data is intuitive to investors.
- + Metric allows for portfolio decomposition and attribution analysis.
- – Metric does not consider differences in the size of companies (e.g., does not consider the carbon efficiency of companies).
- TCFD Holdings Carbon Emissions per million USD invested (tonnes/millions USD) =

$$\frac{\sum_n^i \left( \frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{issuer's market capitalization}_i} * \text{issuer's Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions}_i \right)}{\text{current portfolio value (\$M)}}$$

**Weighted Average Carbon Intensity of Investments**

- Portfolio’s exposure to carbon-intensive companies, expressed in tons CO2e/\$M revenue.
- Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions are allocated based on portfolio weights (the current value of the investment relative to the current portfolio value), rather than the equity ownership approach (see Carbon Intensity).
- + Metric can be more easily applied across asset classes since it does not rely on equity ownership approach.
- + The calculation of this metric is fairly simple and easy to communicate to investors.
- + Metric allows for portfolio decomposition and attribution analysis.
- – Metric is sensitive to outliers.
- – Using revenue (instead of physical or other metrics) to normalize the data tends to favor companies with higher pricing levels relative to their peers.
- TCFD Carbon Intensity (weighted average tonnes/millions USD) =

$$\sum_n^i \left( \frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{current portfolio value}} * \frac{\text{issuer's Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions}_i}{\text{issuer's \$M revenue}_i} \right)$$

<sup>1</sup> See Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures - Implementing the Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (October 2021).



## **Carbon Data**

- The analysis comprises all public equity funds on SC's Luxembourg UCITS platform (SEDCO Capital Global UCITS or SCGU in the following). The Assets under Management (AUM) was USD 1.51bn (SC's public equity funds on SC's UCITS platform per 30-Sep-2024). About 86% of the AUM is in passively managed funds.
- The analysis has been conducted on most recent available scope 1 and 2 carbon data disclosures.
- This report uses reported total greenhouse gas (GHG) emission data, which comprises GHG scope 1 and 2. GHG scope 3 is not directly controlled by the target company and frequently not reported.
- Compared to prior years, carbon disclosures have notably increased. All funds and benchmarks had disclosures for almost all portfolio holdings, at least >96.9%.

## **Carbon Footprint and Carbon Intensity**

- The Total Carbon Emissions (in thousand tons CO<sub>2</sub>e) of SC's public equity fund portfolio is 71 (compared to SC's prior report of 83). The average Carbon Footprint (tons CO<sub>2</sub>e/millions USD) is 48 (prior report: 74). The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity of Investments (tons CO<sub>2</sub>e/\$M revenue) for the portfolio is 183 (prior report: 195). This is in line with the Weighted Average Carbon Intensity of the benchmark of 183 (prior report: 192).
- The SC Global Listed Infrastructure Equities Fund has the highest Carbon Footprint with 240 (tons CO<sub>2</sub>e/millions USD) among listed equity fund on SC's UCITS platform. The fund also has the highest value in terms of Carbon Intensity (both in average and index methods). Also in the last report, the fund had the highest emissions in all three metrics among its peers on SCGU platform.
- The fund with the lowest Carbon Footprint is SC Global Technology Equity Passive Fund with a Carbon Footprint of 4 (tons CO<sub>2</sub>e/millions USD). The fund also has the lowest emissions in Carbon Intensity (average and index methods) on the SCGU platform.
- A portfolio's (benchmark's) industrial sector composition is an important driver of its carbon intensity. This is particularly evident for the carbon intensity for the SC Global Listed Infrastructure Equity Fund due to its high weight in utilities.
- In the portfolio, developed markets generally tend to have lower carbon intensity relative to emerging markets. SC Global Emerging Market Passive Fund's Carbon Footprint is the second highest after Infrastructure Fund's.
- SC LO Global ESG Equities Fund substantially lowers its carbon intensity relative to its benchmark despite being relatively neutral in its sector allocation. The fund has a negative carbon intensity attribution by allocating to lower carbon emitting stocks within each sector (relative to its benchmark).

## Disclaimer

The information provided in this report by SEDCO Capital, including the statements regarding environmental, social, and governance (ESG) matters, should be considered as forward-looking statements and may not necessarily predict future performance. SEDCO Capital acknowledges that forward-looking statements are subject to various assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, and they do not have an obligation to update such statements. Actual results may differ from anticipated outcomes.

SEDCO Capital (“SC”) does not verify the methodologies and calculations used by companies that provide awards and ratings. Therefore, the accuracy and completeness of such information cannot be guaranteed. The ESG Coverage mentioned refers to the percentage of a fund's holdings that fall within the scope of MSCI's ESG analysis. It should be noted that certain assets, such as cash, are excluded from the analysis when calculating the ESG Coverage and ESG Analysis.

The information provided in this report should not be treated as legal guidance, opinion, or advice. The recipient of this information is responsible for independently assessing the commercial, legal, credit, tax, regulatory, and accounting considerations in relation to the referenced assets or funds. Reliance on this information is solely at the reader's discretion. Any research mentioned in this report reflects the views of SEDCO Capital at the time of correspondence and may not align with specific regulatory definitions. While the information is considered reliable, it may not be comprehensive, and it is subject to change without notice.

The report includes information sourced from MSCI ESG Research LLC or its affiliates, and the accuracy and completeness of such data are not warranted by SEDCO Capital. The MSCI information is not intended as investment advice or a recommendation to make investment decisions. It should not be relied upon as an indication or guarantee of future performance. The ESG Parties, including MSCI ESG Research LLC, shall not be liable for any errors or omissions in the data provided or for any damages arising from the use of such data. Additionally, SEDCO Capital incorporates ESG factors into its investment process, there is no guarantee that the ESG performance of investments will meet the expectations or objectives of investors.

For more information on SEDCO Capital's approach to sustainable investing and ESG integration, please refer to our website. Investing involves risks, and specific details should be reviewed in the offering document(s). Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future performance. Investments in emerging markets may be more volatile, resulting in a higher risk to capital. The MSCI ESG metrics presented in this report may not fully reflect future economic reality. Non-financial metrics, such as the ITR and Climate Value-at-Risk, have inherent limitations and should not be considered as indications of materiality or financial impact. They are provided to comply with reporting requirements.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. The performance of investments managed by SEDCO Capital can fluctuate, and investors may experience gains or losses. The value of investments and the income derived from them can go down as well as up. Investing in financial markets involves inherent risks, including but not limited to market volatility, economic downturns, and geopolitical events. These risks can impact the performance of investments and may result in a loss of capital.

SEDCO Capital operates under the laws and regulations of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Any disputes or legal matters arising from investments with SEDCO Capital shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the courts in the KSA. Investors should be aware that the legal and regulatory framework in the KSA may differ from the laws of their home jurisdiction. Therefore, investors should carefully consider the potential implications and seek appropriate legal advice before making investment decisions with SEDCO Capital.

The information contained in this report from SEDCO Capital is intended solely for the recipient's knowledge and consideration. It is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer, solicitation, or recommendation to buy or sell any securities or financial instruments. The recipient acknowledges that the information contained in this report is proprietary to SEDCO Capital. Any unauthorized use or reproduction, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited.

In the event of any unauthorized use, or theft of the information contained in this report, SEDCO Capital reserves the right to take legal proceedings to protect its rights and seek remedies under applicable laws and regulations.